- 1 Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with PacifiCorp
- 2 (the Company).
- 3 A. My name is Mark Widmer, my business address is 825 N.E. Multnomah, Suite
- 4 800, Portland, Oregon 97232, and my present position is Manager, Regulation.

5 Qualifications

- 6 Q. Briefly describe your education and business experience.
- 7 A. I received an undergraduate degree in Business Administration from Oregon State
- 8 University. I have worked for PacifiCorp since 1980 and have held various
- 9 positions in the power supply and regulatory areas. I was promoted to my present
- position March 2001.
- 11 Q. Please describe your current duties.
- 12 A. I am responsible for the coordination and preparation of net power cost and
- related analyses used in retail price filings. In addition, I represent the Company
- on power resource and other various issues with intervenor and regulatory groups
- associated with the six state regulatory commissions to whose jurisdiction we are
- subject.

17

Purpose of Testimony

- 18 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 19 A. I will describe the Company's Power Cost Adjustment (PCA) and present the
- results of the adjustment from November 1, 2000 through October 31, 2001, that
- 21 the Company seeks to recover from customers through this filing.

22 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism

23 Q. Please describe the Power Cost Adjustment.

- 1 A. The Power Cost Adjustment (PCA) is determined on a monthly basis and is equal
- 2 to the Actual Net Power Cost in dollars per MWh (ANPC) less the Base Net
- Power Cost in dollars per MWh (BNPC) multiplied by the Idaho load deemed in
- 4 rates.
- 5 Q. Please explain how the BNPC is determined.
- 6 A. BNPC is intended to represent the level of power costs currently reflected in rates,
- 7 which is somewhat difficult to determine inasmuch as the last rate case in Idaho
- 8 in which the Company's net power costs were addressed was prior to the Utah
- 9 Power/Pacific Power merger. For this reason and based on conversations with the
- Idaho Staff, it was decided that the last audited net power cost study for a semi-
- annual filing would be appropriate for use in the deferral calculations. The last
- audited net power cost study is for the 12-months ended December 31, 1998, and
- included a Type III study, which incorporated known and measurable changes
- through December 31, 1999. The BNPC is equal to the monthly net power cost,
- which consists of purchased power, wheeling and fuel expenses less special sales
- revenue, divided by the monthly net system load in rates. Exhibit No. 4 shows
- the components and calculation of the BNPC.
- 18 Q. How is the monthly ANPC calculated?
- 19 A. The ANPC is calculated based on the Company's actual monthly net power cost
- adjusted to exclude energy exchange contracts that only have nominal dollar
- values for accounting purposes. The resulting adjusted actual monthly net power
- cost is then divided by the actual monthly net system load to arrive at the ANPC.

| 1 Q. Have you prepared exhibits that detail the calculation of ANPC for the d | eferral |
|---|---------|
|---|---------|

- 2 period?
- 3 A. Yes. Exhibits No. 5 and 6 show the actual monthly net power costs for November
- 4 2000 to December 2000, and the first 10 months of 2001, respectively.
- 5 Q. Please explain Exhibit No. 7.
- 6 A. Exhibit No. 7 shows the determination of the monthly power cost adjustment for
- 7 the period of November 1, 2000 through October 31, 2001. The amount of the
- 8 power cost adjustment is calculated as the product of Idaho load deemed in rates
- 9 multiplied by the difference between ANPC and BNPC. The cumulative balance
- of the power cost adjustment for the period is \$37,381,713, prior to inclusion of
- 11 carrying charges.
- 12 Q. How is the Company accounting for the costs referenced in your testimony and in
- Exhibit No. 7?
- 14 A. Pursuant to the Idaho Commission's approval of the Company's deferred
- accounting request, the monthly values of the PCA are credited to Account 557,
- thereby decreasing the recorded power supply expenses, and debiting Account
- 17 182.3. Deferred income taxes are recorded by debiting Account 410.10, and
- crediting Account 283. The amortization of the balance in Account 182.3 would
- be accomplished by crediting Account 182.3 and debiting Account 557. Deferred
- income taxes would be amortized by debiting Account 283 and crediting Account
- 21 411.10.
- 22 Q. Is the Company proposing to accrue carrying charges on its accrued excess net
- power costs?

| 1 | A. | Yes. The Commission order granting the Company's request to defer excess net |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | power costs allowed the Company to request carrying charges "in a future case." |
| 3 | | The Company is therefore requesting as part of this filing that it be allowed to |
| 4 | | accrue carryings charges on its deferred net power costs at the 6.0 percent interest |
| 5 | | rate it pays for customer deposits. The Company believes this request is |
| 6 | | reasonable because it prudently acquired resources for the benefit of its customers |
| 7 | | at a significant cost, which to this time have been borne by the Company's |
| 8 | | shareholders. This proposed treatment is consistent with the Commission's |
| 9 | | actions for both Idaho Power and Avista, which have deferral accounts on which |
| 10 | | they are allowed to collect interest at the same rate paid by the utility on customer |

12

13

11

PCA Deferrals November 30, 2000 through October 31, 2001

- Q. What is the amount of PCA deferrals for which the Company is seeking recoveryin this proceeding?
- A. As shown on Row 70 of Exhibit No. 7, the Company's cumulative deferral balance, including carrying charges, is \$38,279,851. This covers the period November 30, 2000 through October 31, 2001.
- 19 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

deposits (6 percent).

20 A. Yes.

21